

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Beet 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/529,835	05/09/2000	CHRISTINE RONDEAU	05725.0577	6223
7590 11/20/2003			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW			ELRILO, EISA B	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/529,835	RONDEAU, CHRISTINE				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eisa B Elhilo	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic	ation. A proper reply to a				
_	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been fitted in the data formula for the data for	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in t onths after the mailing date of the final rejec	he final Office action; or (2) as set forth in tion, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the per R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· ·	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None,						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-8 and 32-77</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
10.						
<u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 09/529,835

Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not presented any additional data or showing to overcome the rejection of record. The arguments dated November 03, 2003 merely rehash the arguments presented earlier which were fully responded by the examiner in previous office action on paper No. 16, dated 6/03/2003. Further, with respect to the motivation to combine the teachings of the references with a reasonable expectation of success, the examiner would like to point out that the primary reference of Rondeau suggests the use of the quaternary ammonium compounds in the hair dyeing composition (see col. 21, lines 14-19) and also teaches that the oxidizing agent is selected form oxidizing agents that used conventionally in oxidation dyeing composition (see col. 21, lines 14-19). Casperson (US' 146) in analogous art of hair dyeing compositions, teaches a composition comprising quaternary ammonium salts which represented by the a formula similar to the claimed formula as described in the previous office action. The secondary reference of Casperson clearly teaches that the quaternary salts are used in the hair dyeing compositions as conditioners for improve the lubricity of the hair such as making the hair easy to detangle while wet and feel smooth and be readily managed when dry (see col. 8, lines 61-68 and col. 9, lines 1-4). Aslyng (WO, 998) in orther analogous art of hair dyeing composition, teaches a composition comprising laccase enzymes as an oxidizing agent as claimed (see page 3, lines 28-29). Aaslyng clearly teaches that the use of hydrogen peroxide as an oxidizing agent in the dye compositions have some disadvantages such as damages the hair (see page 2, lines 20-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled person in the art to be motivated to modify the composition of the primary reference of Rondeau by incorporating the quaternary ammonium salts of Casperson and the laccase enzyme of Aasylng to make such a composition with the reasonable expectation

Application/Control Number: 09/529,835

Art Unit: 1751

of success for improving the conditions of the hair by incorporating the quaternary amonium compounds as taught by Casperson and to reduce the damage on the hair by incorporating the laccase enzyme as taught Aaslyng. Thus, the prima facie case of obviousness has been established.

YOGENDRA M. CUPTA CUPERMEORY PAYENT EXAMINOR TESTERS COM CUPTER 1990 Page 3